UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/597,661	05/25/2007	Igor Jermolajev	083234-000900US	4564	
	7590 04/19/2010 VNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			EXAMINER	
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			ANGEBRANNDT, MARTIN J		
EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1795		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/19/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/597,661	JERMOLAJEV, IGOR				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Martin J. Angebranndt	1795				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 A</u>	ugust 2006					
	action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)⊠ None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/02/2006</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/597,661 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5,12 and 13 are under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being fully anticipated by Yamazaki JP 03-058077

Yamazaki JP 03-058077 (with notations from oral translation) teaches the article s of figure 11B and 7B which are copy molds. The process of forming the articles of figure 7B is the recording or a holographic image using a grating master through a mask, followed by development in the resist to form the articles of figure 6, which includes the text shown in figure 5B., this is overcoated with Ni (layer 71) which is then peeled from the resist master and used to form the copy mold by electrodeposition (page4/right lower column, first line and page 3/upper right column to page 4/upper left column)). The examples with respect to figure 11A uses sandblasting of the master for the patterning. (figure 10 and text on page 4). The embodiment of

Application/Control Number: 10/597,661

Art Unit: 1795

figures 12, 13A and 13B coats an ink composition on the grating and then forms an (electroformed) embossing master from this.

The process of figures 12, 13A and 13B meets the limitation of the methods claims and claim 1. Even of the thickness of the final embossing master is thicker than the thickness of the ink layer, the intermediate product inherently meets the limitations as there would be openings in the electroformed shim corresponding to the "DNP" lettering. The "DNP" is held to identify the metallic plate as a product from Dainippon Printing.

4. Claims 1-6,8,9 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki JP 03-058077, in view of Gale et al. '660, Mallik '319 and Schaefer et al. 638

Gale et al. '660 teaches the formation of the original grating, coating this with a photoresist, exposure and development to expose a portion of the surface and then either electroplating (figure 1) or etching (figure 2) to form a raised portion or a depression.

Schaefer et al. 638 teaches the formation of a resist master in a photoresist, the growth of a metal shim or mother shim by silvering the resist, and electroforming Ni over the resist and peeling this from the resist surface. Daughter shims can then be formed form mother shim by electroforming. [0035-0038]

Mallik '319 teaches for the formation of gratings with projections, the metal master is formed with holds punched out of them. (figure 2 and text 4/58-5/14) The projection spacers can be in the opposing substrate as well (figure 3)

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the process of figure figures 12, 13A and 13B of over Yamazaki JP 03-058077, by then electrolytically duplicating the modified grating as taught by Schaefer et al. 638 and growing the shim only to the thickness of

Art Unit: 1795

the ink (or photoresist as with Gale et al. '660) and then peeling it, based upon the teachings of the formation of masters with holes punched in them by Mallik '319 which forms the holograms with holes in them without a separate punching step with a reasonable expectation of success based upon electrolytic growth upon gratings being known in the art as evidenced by Gale et al. '660. The shim produced meets the limitations of claims 1-4.

Even if the shim is formed thicker than the ink layer, the intermediate product meets the article claims.

5. Claims 1-9 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki JP 03-058077, in view of Gale et al. '660, Mallik '319 and Schaefer et al. 638, further in view of Folger et al. '978

Folger et al. '978 teach making holographic stampers (3/31-52 and examples). Example II takes the nickel master of example 1 after peeling from the resist, the silver layer is treated with a a potassium dichromate solution as a release agent (11/56-75). The process is also described with a cleaning step (8/36-75)

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the processes rendered obvious by the combination of Yamazaki JP 03-058077, Gale et al. '660, Mallik '319 and Schaefer et al. 638 by cleaning and treating the master with potassium dichromate to passivate the surface as this is old and well known in the art to prevent bonding at the metal surfaces as evidenced by Folger et al. '978.

6. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki JP 03-058077, in view of Gale et al. '660, Mallik '319, Schaefer et al. 638 and Folger et al. '978, further in view of Sakurai et al. JP 05-016152.

Sakurai et al. JP 05-016152 (machine translation attached) teaches the cleaning and drying of a stamper using ultrarefined/ultrapure water and ultrasonic treatment. (abstract)

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the processes rendered obvious by the combination of Yamazaki JP 03-058077, Gale et al. '660, Mallik '319,Schaefer et al. 638 and Folger et al. '978 by using known cleaning processes for removing organic materials from stamper surfaces, such as the washing with ultrapure water and ultrasonication taught by Sakurai et al. JP 05-016152.with a reasonable expectation of success based upon this being within the field of forming metal stampers.

7. Claims 1-5 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki JP 03-058077, in view of Hirose JP 08-058274.

Hirose JP 08-058274 teaches intaglio printing of ink over holograms (abstract)

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the processes if process of figures 12, 13A and 13B by using other known printing methods for providing ink onto holographic surfaces, such as the intaglio process taught by Hirose JP 08-058274, in place of the silk screen process used in the figures, with a reasonable expectation of success based upon the evidenced equivalent functionality.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Martin J. Angebranndt whose telephone number is 571-272-1378. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kelly Cynthia can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,661 Page 6

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Martin J Angebranndt Primary Examiner Art Unit 1795

/Martin J Angebranndt/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795 April 15, 2010